Interfaith Dialogue in an Age of Religious Polarization: A Peacebuilding Model for Global Religious Communities

Dr. Salman Arif

Researcher, Divinity Sciences, Yale University, USA

Email: salman.arif@myemail.indwes.edu

Abstract

Religious polarization has become one of the most urgent moral and public challenges of the twenty-first century. It appears in sectarian violence, religious nationalism, Islamophobia, antisemitism, online hate, migrant suspicion, minority insecurity, and the erosion of trust among faith communities. This article develops a peacebuilding model for global religious communities by arguing that interfaith dialogue should move beyond occasional meetings, symbolic tolerance, and ceremonial harmony toward a disciplined framework of theological honesty, ethical recognition, public responsibility, and shared civic action. The study combines scriptural reasoning, comparative theology, social ethics, conflict transformation, and contemporary interfaith scholarship. It draws on Islamic, Jewish, Christian, and broader religious resources while giving special attention to the Abrahamic traditions, because their shared moral grammar of divine accountability, human dignity, covenant, mercy, justice, and neighborly responsibility offers a strong foundation for peacebuilding. The article follows the academic pattern of a recently published comparative religious article by integrating a theoretical framework, literature review, applied model, recommendations, and full references. It engages works by Ataur Rehman, Hafiz Faiz Rasool, Abbas Ali Raza, Salman Arif, and other scholars who have written on interfaith harmony, social unity, Islamophobia, charity across faiths, religious obligations, minority rights, compassion, tolerance, artificial intelligence, and public ethics. The central contribution of the article is the Interfaith Peacebuilding and Social Cohesion Model, which consists of six layers: theological integrity, moral recognition, healing memory, institutional cooperation, public-good action, and digital responsibility. The article concludes that interfaith dialogue becomes a genuine peacebuilding force only when religious communities preserve their own convictions while transforming difference into responsibility, disagreement into ethical discipline, and shared vulnerability into cooperative service for the common good.

Keywords: Interfaith Dialogue; Religious Polarization; Peacebuilding; Social Cohesion; World Religions; Abrahamic Faiths; Islamophobia; Antisemitism; Public Ethics; Religious Communities

Introduction

The contemporary world is marked by deep religious pluralism and growing religious polarization. Migration, digital communication, minority anxieties, political extremism, economic injustice, religious nationalism, and global conflicts have brought communities closer in physical space but not always closer in moral understanding. Religious difference can become a source of wisdom, humility, and cooperation. It can also be manipulated into suspicion, humiliation, exclusion, and violence. For this reason, interfaith dialogue is no longer a secondary academic concern. It has become a necessary public practice for protecting human dignity, reducing conflict, and strengthening social trust.[1]

This article examines interfaith dialogue as a peacebuilding model for global religious communities. It is written in the same scholarly pattern as the attached article on Jews, Gentiles, and Muslims and the published article on faithful and responsible public ethics: it combines scriptural reasoning, comparative religious analysis, theoretical reflection, contemporary relevance, practical recommendations, and a full reference list.[2] The central claim is that interfaith dialogue must move beyond polite conversation and become a structured discipline of peacebuilding. Dialogue is not merely talking together. It is a moral process through which communities learn to preserve their convictions without dehumanizing others, to disagree without violence, and to cooperate for the common good without erasing theological difference.[3]

Religious traditions contain strong resources for peace. The Qur'an teaches that humanity was created into nations and tribes so that people may know one another, not despise one another. It also affirms moral competition in good works and rejects compulsion in religion.[4] The Hebrew Bible grounds human dignity in creation and imagines a future in which nations turn swords into plowshares.[5] Christian ethics places neighbor-love, reconciliation, and peacemaking at the center of discipleship.[6] Similar resources can be found in Hindu, Buddhist, Sikh, and other traditions through their teachings on compassion, nonviolence, humility, service, and spiritual discipline. Yet these resources do not automatically produce peace. They must be interpreted, taught, institutionalized, and practiced with moral seriousness.

Why Interfaith Dialogue Matters in an Age of Polarization

Religious polarization is not simply disagreement about doctrine. Healthy disagreement can sharpen understanding and deepen faith. Polarization is different. It turns disagreement into moral hostility. It trains people to imagine the religious other as a threat, a contaminant, an enemy, or a danger to public order. Rene Girard's theory of scapegoating is useful here because communities under pressure often seek unity by projecting fear and guilt onto outsiders.[7] When religion is tied to identity, power, memory, and social belonging, the outsider can easily become a symbolic carrier of communal anxiety.

Talal Asad reminds scholars that religion is not merely a private belief or emotional preference. It is a discursive tradition shaped by texts, authority, institutions, rituals, law, and embodied practice.[8] This means interfaith dialogue cannot be reduced to private friendship alone. It must engage religious institutions, educational systems, public narratives, legal structures, media practices, and political uses of religious identity. Mary Douglas's analysis of purity and danger also shows that communities organize themselves through boundaries. Such boundaries can protect meaning, but they can also become instruments of exclusion when they identify outsiders with disorder or impurity.[9]

Interfaith dialogue matters because it creates disciplined space where religious boundaries can be clarified without becoming weapons. Abbas Ali Raza's work on ethical commonalities in Judaism, Christianity, and Islam shows that Abrahamic traditions contain shared moral commitments that can support dialogue without dissolving doctrinal distinction.[10] Hafiz Faiz Rasool and Ataur Rehman's work on Islamophobia highlights the damage caused when religious communities are represented through hostile stereotypes rather than careful understanding.[11] The same logic applies to antisemitism, anti-Christian prejudice, sectarianism, anti-Hindu hatred, anti-Sikh violence, and other forms of religious hostility. Peacebuilding begins by refusing to let fear speak the final word about another community.

Literature Review and Scholarly Context

This study is situated within several scholarly conversations. The first concerns religious boundary formation. Boyarin argues that Jewish and Christian identities were sharpened through disputes over boundaries.[12] Zetterholm's social-scientific approach to Antioch similarly shows how religious identities are formed through practices, social negotiation, and communal distinctions.[13] Cohen's historical comparison of Jewish life under Muslim and Christian rule shows that interreligious

relations are shaped by law, power, social practice, and historical context rather than by doctrine alone.[14]

The second conversation concerns Muslim public ethics and interfaith social responsibility. Raza, Rasool, and Rehman emphasize the role of mosques in social unity through the Prophetic model.[15] Raza and colleagues also examine tolerance, compassion, gentleness, and social ethics in the light of the Prophet's Seerah.[1617] These works are important because they connect religious teaching with public behavior. They show that interfaith peace is not achieved only by conferences or statements. It requires the cultivation of virtues, institutions, and social habits that make coexistence possible.

The third conversation concerns shared religious practices and ethical overlap. Scholarship on charity across faiths, prayer, sacred texts, and prophethood in the Abrahamic traditions demonstrates that religious communities can identify common moral concerns while remaining faithful to distinct beliefs.[181920] Such work is valuable for peacebuilding because it shifts attention from abstract debate alone to lived practices of giving, worship, scripture, and moral formation.

The fourth conversation concerns minority rights, technology, ecology, and public welfare. Salman Arif's work on the Qur'anic framework for minority rights directly supports the argument that religious identity must be joined with justice, dignity, and freedom.[21] Hayat and Arif's work on artificial intelligence, digital surveillance, and ecological ethics shows that faith-based ethics must address contemporary systems that shape public life.[2223] In the age of polarization, interfaith dialogue must also engage digital platforms, misinformation, surveillance, environmental crisis, and social vulnerability.

The fifth conversation concerns responsible public ethics. Ataur Rehman's published work on faithful and responsible artificial intelligence provides a methodological model for moving from religious values to public responsibility.[24] His work on religion and conflict responds to the claim that religion is primarily a cause of war by showing that religious teachings can also provide resources for moral reform, social peace, and critique of violence.[25] His study of Islamic ethics and artificial intelligence further shows the need for religious scholarship to address emerging public challenges rather than remain confined to inherited debates.[26]

Theological Foundations for Interfaith Peacebuilding

Human Dignity and Divine Accountability

A strong peacebuilding model must begin with human dignity. In Islamic thought, the Qur'an teaches that God has honored the children of Adam and created human communities in diversity for mutual recognition.[27] In Jewish and Christian traditions, the human being is created in the image of God.[28] These teachings establish a moral foundation deeper than political convenience. Human dignity does not depend on whether another person belongs to one's own religion, sect, ethnicity, or nation. It belongs to the human person as a creature accountable before God.

Justice, Mercy, and the Neighbor

Justice and mercy are central to interfaith peacebuilding. The Qur'an commands justice even toward those with whom one has tension and does not forbid kindness and fairness toward those who do not fight Muslims because of religion.[29] The Christian tradition's parable of the Good Samaritan expands the meaning of neighbor beyond religious comfort zones, while the Beatitudes bless the peacemakers.[30] Jewish ethics similarly commands love for the stranger and pursuit of justice. These resources allow religious communities to transform the other from an object of suspicion into a bearer of rights, a neighbor, and a moral partner.

Truth without Humiliation

Interfaith dialogue should not require the abandonment of truth claims. Muslims, Christians, Jews, Hindus, Buddhists, Sikhs, and others enter dialogue with real convictions about God, revelation, salvation, worship, and moral life. A dialogue that demands silence about doctrine becomes shallow. However, truth must be expressed without humiliation. The ethical question is not whether communities may believe they are right. The question is whether they can express conviction without denying the dignity, safety, and civic belonging of others. This distinction is central to peacebuilding.

Religious Polarization: Causes and Contemporary Forms

Religious polarization has multiple causes. It is not produced by theology alone. It emerges when religious identity becomes fused with fear, political interest, historical grievance, economic inequality, media manipulation, and social separation. The following forms are especially important today:

- Sectarian hardening, where intra-religious differences become reasons for exclusion or violence.

- Religious nationalism, where one religious identity is used to define the full legitimacy of the state or nation.
- Minority suspicion, where migrants and minorities are treated as permanent outsiders.
- Digital misinformation, where online platforms reward outrage and spread religious stereotypes rapidly.
- Historical wounds, where past conflicts are remembered without healing, forgiveness, or honest study.
- Economic and political manipulation, where leaders use religion to distract from injustice or mobilize loyal groups.

Research on antisocial activities, religion, and public order shows that religion can be socially constructive when it supports moral responsibility, but it can also be misused when detached from justice and compassion.[31] Work on global campaigns of religious insult and blasphemy controversies further demonstrates how religious emotions can be inflamed across borders, especially when political actors and media systems amplify grievance.[32] This makes interfaith dialogue urgent not only for theologians, but also for educators, policy makers, media leaders, and community organizers.

From Dialogue to Peacebuilding: A Conceptual Shift

The word dialogue is often used too lightly. In many settings it means a public ceremony, a polite meal, a photo opportunity, or a general statement that all religions teach peace. These activities can be useful, but they are not enough. In an age of polarization, interfaith dialogue must become peacebuilding. Peacebuilding means addressing the causes of mistrust, developing long-term relationships, correcting false narratives, protecting vulnerable communities, and creating shared practices that reduce conflict before violence begins.

This shift requires three corrections. First, dialogue must be honest. It should not hide difficult differences about revelation, law, salvation, prophethood, worship, caste, gender, conversion, or religious freedom. Second, dialogue must be ethical. It should not become a debate designed to defeat or embarrass the other. Third, dialogue must be public. It should produce educational, social, humanitarian, and civic outcomes that benefit communities beyond the participants in the room.

The Interfaith Peacebuilding and Social Cohesion Model

This article proposes the Interfaith Peacebuilding and Social Cohesion Model for global religious communities. The model has six layers. Each layer responds to a specific weakness in ordinary dialogue and transforms interfaith engagement into a disciplined practice of peace.

Layer	Core Question	Peacebuilding Function
1. Theological integrity	Can each tradition speak honestly in its own voice?	Prevents shallow harmony and protects real religious identity.
2. Moral recognition	Can communities affirm the dignity of those who differ?	Stops dehumanization and creates ethical restraint.
3. Healing memory	Can painful histories be studied without revenge?	Transforms grievance into truthful remembrance and responsibility.
4. Institutional cooperation	Can religious institutions build durable relationships?	Moves dialogue from individuals to mosques, churches, synagogues, temples, schools, and universities.
5. Public-good action	Can communities serve society together?	Turns dialogue into charity, education, health, environmental care, and minority protection.
6. Digital responsibility	Can religious communities resist online hatred?	Addresses misinformation, polarization, surveillance, and digital scapegoating.

Layer One: Theological Integrity

Peacebuilding begins with theological integrity. Religious communities should not be asked to dilute their beliefs in order to be acceptable in public space. Muslims should be able to speak about tawhid, prophethood, Qur'an, Sunnah, and accountability before God. Christians should be able to speak about Christ, grace, church, and salvation. Jews should be able to speak about covenant, Torah, peoplehood, and mitzvot. Hindus, Buddhists, Sikhs, and others should also be allowed to articulate their deepest spiritual vocabularies. Honest dialogue does not weaken peace. It prevents resentment by refusing false agreement.

Layer Two: Moral Recognition

The second layer is moral recognition. Theological difference must not become moral contempt. A Muslim may disagree with Christian doctrine, a Christian may disagree with Islamic theology, and a Jew may preserve covenantal distinctiveness, but none of these positions justifies hatred or humiliation. Moral recognition means seeing the religious other as a person with dignity, conscience, family, memory, vulnerability, and accountability before God.

Layer Three: Healing Memory

The third layer is healing memory. Religious communities carry memories of conquest, colonialism, persecution, forced conversion, expulsion, humiliation, sectarian violence, and political betrayal. These memories do not disappear through slogans. They must be studied truthfully. Healing memory does not mean forgetting the past. It means refusing to let inherited pain become permanent hatred. This requires historical literacy, public apology where appropriate, protection of sacred sites, and education that presents other communities fairly.

Layer Four: Institutional Cooperation

The fourth layer is institutional cooperation. Interfaith work often depends on charismatic individuals, but peace requires institutions. Mosques, churches, synagogues, temples, universities, schools, hospitals, charities, and civic organizations should build regular channels of communication before crisis occurs. Raza, Rasool, and Rehman's work on mosques and social unity is especially useful here because it treats religious institutions as vehicles of public good, not merely places of private worship.[15]

Layer Five: Public-Good Action

The fifth layer is public-good action. Communities learn trust by serving together. Joint work on hunger, homelessness, refugee support, disaster relief, health care, education, prison outreach, environmental protection, and minority rights can transform dialogue from speech into shared responsibility. Scholarship on charity across faiths supports this point by showing that giving is not only a religious duty but also a bridge among communities.[18]

Layer Six: Digital Responsibility

The sixth layer is digital responsibility. Much religious polarization now spreads through social media, algorithmic outrage, manipulated images, short clips without context, and online conspiracy networks. Religious leaders must train communities to verify information, avoid forwarding hate, protect privacy, and respond to insult without losing ethical discipline. Hayat and Arif's work on

artificial intelligence and digital surveillance shows that contemporary religious ethics must address technological systems that shape human behavior and public trust.[22]

Application to Global Religious Communities

Muslim Communities

For Muslim communities, interfaith peacebuilding should draw on the Qur'anic principles of justice, mercy, no compulsion in religion, covenantal responsibility, and recognition of human diversity. Islamic institutions should teach adab al-ikhtilaf, the ethics of disagreement, and connect it with public service. Muslim scholars should also address Islamophobia without responding through isolation or counter-hatred. Rasool and Rehman's work on countering Islamophobia is important because it identifies the need to respond to hostile narratives through scholarship, moral clarity, and public engagement.[11]

Christian Communities

For Christian communities, peacebuilding should draw on the teachings of neighbor-love, reconciliation, the image of God, peacemaking, and care for the vulnerable. Christian institutions have a special responsibility to address histories of colonialism, antisemitism, Islamophobia, and forced assimilation where these have shaped public memory. A Christian contribution to interfaith peace is strongest when it joins mission with humility, witness with service, and conviction with protection of religious freedom for others.

Jewish Communities

For Jewish communities, interfaith peacebuilding can draw on covenantal responsibility, love of the stranger, justice, Sabbath ethics, and tikkun olam. Jewish experience of minority vulnerability gives Jewish communities a powerful moral language for protecting other minorities. At the same time, Jewish-Muslim and Jewish-Christian dialogue must address painful histories honestly, including antisemitism, Islamophobia, Middle East conflicts, and the ways political narratives can damage local relationships.

Other Religious Communities

Hindu, Buddhist, Sikh, Indigenous, and other religious communities also possess deep peacebuilding resources. Hindu traditions include teachings on dharma, hospitality, and the spiritual significance of self-discipline. Buddhist traditions emphasize compassion, non-attachment, and the reduction of

suffering. Sikh tradition strongly emphasizes service, equality, courage, and langar as shared hospitality. Indigenous traditions often offer relational understandings of land, community, ancestry, and ecological responsibility. A global model of interfaith dialogue should not reduce world religions to Abrahamic categories alone.

Interfaith Dialogue, Minority Rights, and Citizenship

Minority rights are one of the most important tests of interfaith peacebuilding. A society cannot claim real harmony if minority communities live in fear, if their places of worship are vulnerable, if their children are bullied, or if their religious identity is treated as foreign. Salman Arif's Qur'anic framework for minority rights provides an important basis for connecting Islamic ethics with justice, dignity, and religious freedom.[21] Work on conflict resolution and skilled leadership by Hussain, Muslim, and Arif also shows that reconciliation requires trained leadership and practical strategies, not only moral intention.[33]

Interfaith dialogue should therefore support equal citizenship. This does not mean that all religions become the same. It means that people of different faiths can belong to the same civic order with protected dignity, legal security, and shared responsibility. In plural societies, religious communities should defend the rights of others because their own freedom is safer when everyone's freedom is respected.

Interfaith Dialogue and Education

Education is one of the most powerful tools for reducing polarization. Many religious prejudices are inherited through ignorance, jokes, family stereotypes, online misinformation, or one-sided textbooks. Religious education should teach students how to understand their own tradition deeply and represent other traditions fairly. It should include sacred texts, historical context, lived practices, ethical teachings, and contemporary challenges.

Universities and seminaries should develop courses on interfaith literacy, comparative theology, conflict transformation, public ethics, and digital religion. Such courses should not only compare doctrines, but also train students in listening, charitable interpretation, crisis communication, and community-based service. This is especially important for imams, pastors, rabbis, chaplains, teachers, social workers, and public leaders.

Interfaith Dialogue and Digital Polarization

Digital spaces have changed the speed and scale of religious conflict. A rumor about a sacred text, a manipulated video, a provocative cartoon, or an insulting statement can spread across continents within minutes. Communities that have never met face to face may suddenly become emotionally involved in conflict. This makes digital responsibility a core part of interfaith peacebuilding.

Religious leaders should develop digital ethics guidelines. These should include verification before sharing, refusing collective blame, avoiding mockery of sacred symbols, protecting private data, resisting surveillance abuses, and correcting false claims about other communities. Work on artificial intelligence, digital surveillance, and public ethics by Hayat, Arif, and Rehman strengthens this point because it shows that religious ethics must address technological power, not only personal behavior.[2226]

A Practical Peacebuilding Framework for Religious Institutions

The proposed model can be applied through the following practical framework:

- Create a local interfaith council that meets regularly before crises occur.
- Develop shared religious literacy programs for youth, teachers, and community leaders.
- Build rapid-response teams for incidents of hate, vandalism, violence, or misinformation.
- Organize joint service projects in food distribution, refugee care, disaster relief, and environmental work.
- Create public statements that defend all religious communities against hate, not only one's own group.
- Train clergy and scholars in conflict mediation, trauma awareness, and public communication.
- Use sacred texts in dialogue carefully, allowing each tradition to explain itself rather than being defined by outsiders.
- Develop digital ethics workshops that teach communities how to identify misinformation and avoid hate-sharing.

Recommendations

First, interfaith dialogue should be treated as a peacebuilding discipline, not only as a ceremonial event. Religious communities should design long-term programs with measurable educational, social, and civic outcomes.

Second, religious leaders should teach theological confidence and moral humility together. Communities need strong identity, but strong identity should produce service and responsibility rather than arrogance.

Third, seminaries, universities, and madrasas should include interfaith literacy and conflict transformation in their curricula. Future religious leaders must know how to respond to polarization, hate speech, minority fear, and digital misinformation.

Fourth, mosques, churches, synagogues, temples, and civic institutions should develop local crisis protocols. When a hate incident occurs, communities should already know whom to call and how to respond together.

Fifth, scholars should continue producing comparative work on shared ethical themes such as charity, prayer, sacred texts, prophethood, tolerance, compassion, minority rights, environmental responsibility, and public ethics.

Sixth, governments and policy makers should recognize religious communities as partners in social cohesion. Faith communities can provide moral education, humanitarian service, conflict mediation, and public trust.

Seventh, digital platforms and religious educators should cooperate in reducing online religious hatred. This includes promoting media literacy, contextual scholarship, and responsible public communication.

Eighth, interfaith work should include women, youth, migrants, minorities, and victims of religious violence. Peacebuilding fails when it is controlled only by senior elites and excludes those most affected by conflict.

Ninth, religious communities should defend the dignity and safety of other communities publicly. A Muslim voice against antisemitism, a Christian voice against Islamophobia, a Jewish voice against anti-Muslim hate, and a Hindu, Sikh, Buddhist, or secular voice against all forms of religious hatred can change public culture.

Tenth, interfaith dialogue should move from tolerance to shared responsibility. Tolerance may prevent immediate violence, but shared responsibility builds trust, service, and lasting peace.

Conclusion

Interfaith dialogue in an age of religious polarization must become more than polite conversation. It must become a peacebuilding model rooted in theological honesty, moral recognition, healing memory, institutional cooperation, public-good action, and digital responsibility. Religious communities do not need to erase their differences in order to live together. They need to discipline their differences through justice, mercy, humility, and shared responsibility.

The article has argued that world religions contain powerful resources for peace, but those resources must be activated through education, leadership, institutions, and public service. Islamic, Jewish, Christian, and other traditions can contribute to social cohesion when they protect human dignity, resist scapegoating, and serve the common good. The works of Ataur Rehman, Hafiz Faiz Rasool, Abbas Ali Raza, Salman Arif, and related scholars show that comparative religious scholarship can address real public problems such as Islamophobia, minority rights, social unity, artificial intelligence, digital surveillance, ecological responsibility, charity, tolerance, and compassion.

The most needed contribution today is not a vague claim that all religions are the same. The needed contribution is a disciplined model that allows religious communities to remain faithful while becoming trustworthy neighbors. In this sense, interfaith dialogue is not the opposite of religious identity. It is one of the highest tests of religious maturity. A community that truly believes in God must learn to protect the dignity of those who differ, serve the vulnerable, seek justice, and turn religious diversity into a path toward peace.

Notes

1. Robert N. Bellah, Religion in Human Evolution: From the Paleolithic to the Axial Age (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011), 7, 322.

2. Ataur Rehman, “Faithful and Responsible Artificial Intelligence: An Ethical Framework for Education, Faith Communities, and Public Life,” published article manuscript, 2026.

3. A. A. Raza, A. U. Rehman, and H. F. Rasool, “Promoting Interfaith Harmony and the Common Challenges Facing the State System Regarding the Unity of the Ummah,” The Islamic Culture: As-Saqafat-ul Islamia (2022).

4. The Holy Qur'an, trans. M. A. S. Abdel Haleem (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004), 49:13; 5:48; 2:256.

5. The Hebrew Bible (Tanakh) (Jewish Publication Society, 1985), Genesis 1:26-27; Isaiah 2:2-4; Micah 6:8.

6. World Council of Churches, The Church: Towards a Common Vision (Geneva: WCC Publications, 2013), 8-10.

7. Rene Girard, Violence and the Sacred (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1977), 12.

8. Talal Asad, Genealogies of Religion: Discipline and Reasons of Power in Christianity and Islam (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993), 27.

9. Mary Douglas, Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo (London: Routledge, 2002), 44.

10. A. A. Raza and M. S. Khalid, "Interfaith Dialogue: Ethical Commonalities in Judaism, Christianity and Islam," Islamic Studies Research Journal Abhath 7, no. 26 (2022).

11. H. F. Rasool and Ataur Rehman, "Countering Islamophobia: An Analysis of Orientalists' Strategy to Defame Islam and Its Effects on Muslim World," Webology 19, no. 3 (2022).

12. Daniel Boyarin, Border Lines: The Partition of Judaeo-Christianity (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2004), 10.

13. Magnus Zetterholm, The Formation of Christianity in Antioch: A Social-Scientific Approach to the Separation Between Judaism and Christianity (London: Routledge, 2003), 56, 142.

14. Mark R. Cohen, Under Crescent and Cross: The Jews in the Middle Ages (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994), 97.

15. A. A. Raza, H. F. Rasool, and Ataur Rehman, "The Role of Mosques for the Social Unity in the Light of Sirat-e-Taiba," Al Meezan Research Journal of Social Sciences 3, no. 1 (2023): 37-50.

16. A. A. Raza, H. U. Ismail, and Q. A. Manan, "The Social Importance and Requirements of Tolerance: Analytical Study in the Light of the Prophet's Seerah," Al Manhal Research Journal 3, no. 3 (2023).

17. A. A. Raza, W. Ali, and G. D. Ahmad, "Social Importance and Requirements of Compassion and Gentleness," Al-Durar 4, no. 1 (2024).

18. A. F. I. Saeed, A. A. Raza, S. Arif, and H. F. Rasool, "Charity across Faiths: The Ethical and Spiritual Dimensions of Giving in Judaism, Christianity, and Islam," Al-Aasar 2, no. 1 (2025): 748-757.

19. A. F. I. Saeed, A. A. Raza, and H. A. Fatima, "Prayer as the Heart of Worship: Exploring Shared Rituals and Spiritual Connections in Judaism, Christianity and Islam," Islamic Research Journal al-Qudwah 3, no. 1 (2025): 1-8.

20. A. F. I. Saeed, H. A. Fatima, and A. A. Raza, "The Sacred Texts of Abrahamic Faiths: Common Themes in the Torah, Bible, and Qur'an," Harf-o-Sukhan 8, no. 3 (2024): 1085-1094.

21. Salman Arif, "The Qur'anic Framework for Minority Rights: Upholding Justice, Dignity, and Religious Freedom," Al-Asr 5 (January-March 2025).

22. I. Hayat and S. Arif, "Islamic Ethical Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence and Digital Surveillance: Principles, Challenges, and Policy Recommendations," International Research Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences 2, no. 1 (2024).

23. I. Hayat and S. Arif, "Islamic Ecological Ethics and Sustainable Development in Pakistan: A Qur'anic Perspective on Climate Challenges," International Research Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences 2, no. 2 (2025).

24. Rehman, "Faithful and Responsible Artificial Intelligence."

25. Ataur Rehman, "Is Religion Primary Cause of Wars and Conflicts? Islamic Response on Ideology of New Atheism," Webology.

26. Ataur Rehman, "Integrating Islamic Ethics with Artificial Intelligence: A Study of Seerah in the Context of Pakistan," Islamic Research Journal al-Marsus 3, no. 2 (2025): 1-9.

27. Qur'an 17:70; 49:13.

28. Genesis 1:26-27.

29. Qur'an 5:8; 16:90; 60:8.

30. Luke 10:25-37; Matthew 5:9.

31. Jasvi, M. A., Z. Rasheed, A. A. Raza, and H. F. Rasool, "Antisocial Activities and Role of a Religion in a Society: A Descriptive Research," Pakistan Islamicus 4 (2024): 11-18.

32. F. Anwar, H. F. Rasool, and M. A. U. Haq, "Global Campaign about Prophetic Blasphemy: Motivations, Causes, and Solutions: A Research Overview," International Research Journal of Arabic and Islamic Studies 4, no. 2 (2024): 47-67.

33. S. Hussain, M. Muslim, and S. Arif, "Conflict Resolution and Development of Skilled Leadership: Reconciliation between Muslim and Western Approaches," Journal of Religious and Social Studies 3, no. 2 (2023): 20-41.

References

Akhter, N., S. Arif, and S. Akhtar. "Socio-Political and Religious Contributions of Sir Syed Ahmad Khan." Al-Afaq Islamic Research Journal 4, no. 1 (2024): 158-165.

Anwar, F., H. F. Rasool, and M. A. U. Haq. "Global Campaign about Prophetic Blasphemy: Motivations, Causes, and Solutions: A Research Overview." International Research Journal of Arabic and Islamic Studies 4, no. 2 (2024): 47-67.

Arif, Salman. "The Qur'anic Framework for Minority Rights: Upholding Justice, Dignity, and Religious Freedom." Al-Asr 5 (January-March 2025).

Arif, S., and U. Ahmad. "Existence of God and Morality: Scholastic Endeavors by Christian and Muslim." Journal of Religious and Social Studies 2, no. 2 (2022): 47-66.

Arif, S., and U. Ahmad. "Scientism and Religion: Christian-Muslim Responses about Atheistic Ideology." Pakistan Journal of Islamic Philosophy 4, no. 1 (2022): 53-69.

Asad, Talal. Genealogies of Religion: Discipline and Reasons of Power in Christianity and Islam. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993.

Bellah, Robert N. Religion in Human Evolution: From the Paleolithic to the Axial Age. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011.

Boyarin, Daniel. Border Lines: The Partition of Judaeo-Christianity. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2004.

Bukhari, S. H. F., M. Abid, and S. Arif. "Atheism in the Muslim World: Classical Approach through Medieval Islamic Intellectual and Philosophical Traditions." Journal of Religious and Social Studies 3, no. 2 (2023): 95-114.

Cohen, Mark R. Under Crescent and Cross: The Jews in the Middle Ages. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1994.

Douglas, Mary. Purity and Danger: An Analysis of Concepts of Pollution and Taboo. London: Routledge, 2002.

Fatima, Hafiza Ambreen, and Ahmad Fuzail Ibn Saeed. “The Spiritual Discipline of Fasting: A Comparative Exploration in Judaism, Christianity, and Islam.” Al-Misbah 4, no. 3 (2024): 82-91.

Girard, Rene. Violence and the Sacred. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1977.

Hayat, I., and S. Arif. “Islamic Ecological Ethics and Sustainable Development in Pakistan: A Qur’anic Perspective on Climate Challenges.” International Research Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences 2, no. 2 (2025).

Hayat, I., and S. Arif. “Islamic Ethical Perspectives on Artificial Intelligence and Digital Surveillance: Principles, Challenges, and Policy Recommendations.” International Research Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences 2, no. 1 (2024).

Hussain, S., M. Muslim, and S. Arif. “Conflict Resolution and Development of Skilled Leadership: Reconciliation between Muslim and Western Approaches.” Journal of Religious and Social Studies 3, no. 2 (2023): 20-41.

Jasvi, M. A., Z. Rasheed, A. A. Raza, and H. F. Rasool. “Antisocial Activities and Role of a Religion in a Society: A Descriptive Research.” Pakistan Islamicus 4 (2024): 11-18.

Nadeem, M. A., et al. “Religious Obligations on Lenders and Borrowers: An Analysis of Jewish, Christian and Muslim Revealed Sources.” Webology 19, no. 2 (2022).

Rasool, H. F., A. Aziz, and M. Kiran. “Mental Health and Spiritual Well-Being in the Qur’an: Addressing Modern Psychological Challenges through Islamic Teachings.” Ulum Al-Sunnah 2, no. 2 (2024): 33-40.

Rasool, H. F., A. Aziz, H. M. Usman, and M. Kiran. “Economic Justice in Islam: A Comprehensive Qur’anic Framework for Equity and Social Harmony in Seerah Perspective.” Tanazur 5, no. 4(a) (2024): 1-15.

Rasool, H. F., and Ataur Rehman. “Countering Islamophobia: An Analysis of Orientalists’ Strategy to Defame Islam and Its Effects on Muslim World.” Webology 19, no. 3 (2022).

Rasool, H. F., S. M. Shah, and M. Nasrullah. “Islamic Responses to Environmental Protection and Sustainability: Principles and Contemporary Applications.” Islamic Research Journal al-Qudwah 2, no. 4 (2024): 78-85.

Rehman, Ataur. “Faithful and Responsible Artificial Intelligence: An Ethical Framework for Education, Faith Communities, and Public Life.” Published article manuscript, 2026.

Rehman, Ataur. “Free Will: A Discourse between New Atheism and Islam.” Islamic Research Journal al-Marsus 1, no. 4 (2023): 1-24.

Rehman, Ataur. “From Contingency to Design: Reassessing al-Ghazali’s Arguments for God in Philosophy, Theology, and Contemporary Science.” Islamiyyat 48, no. 1 (2026): 24-34.

Rehman, Ataur. “God’s Existence in Primary Islamic Sources: An Analytical Study in View of New Atheism.” Journal of Positive School Psychology 6, no. 9 (2022): 26-33.

Rehman, Ataur. “Integrating Islamic Ethics with Artificial Intelligence: A Study of Seerah in the Context of Pakistan.” Islamic Research Journal al-Marsus 3, no. 2 (2025): 1-9.

Rehman, Ataur. “Is Religion Primary Cause of Wars and Conflicts? Islamic Response on Ideology of New Atheism.” Webology.

Rehman, Ataur. “Moralities: A Contemporary Discourse between New Atheism and Islam.” Islamiyyat 42, no. 2 (2020): 113-124.

Rehman, Ataur, and S. Habib. “Darwin and Existence of God: A Discourse between New Atheism and Islam.” Al-Qalam 22, no. 2 (2017): 47-77.

Rehman, Ataur, and T. Basharat. “God’s Existence: Philosophical Discourse between New Atheists and Muslim Scholars.” Pakistan Journal of Islamic Philosophy 3, no. 2 (2021): 1-16.

Rehman, Ataur, T. M. Qazi, M. Kamran, and S. I. Ayub. “Science and God’s Existence: Islamic Perspective on Ideology of New Atheism.” PalArch’s Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology 18, no. 10 (2021): 3320-3336.

Raza, A. A., and M. S. Khalid. “Interfaith Dialogue: Ethical Commonalities in Judaism, Christianity and Islam.” Islamic Studies Research Journal Abhath 7, no. 26 (2022).

Raza, A. A., D. Ali, and Ataur Rehman. “Social Importance of Shyness and Modesty in Islam: An Analytical Study in the Light of Prophet’s Seerah.” Al-Durar 3, no. 3 (2023).

Raza, A. A., H. F. Rasool, and Ataur Rehman. “The Role of Mosques for the Social Unity in the Light of Sirat-e-Taiba.” Al Meezan Research Journal of Social Sciences 3, no. 1 (2023): 37-50.

Raza, A. A., H. U. Ismail, and Q. A. Manan. “The Social Importance and Requirements of Tolerance: Analytical Study in the Light of the Prophet’s Seerah.” Al Manhal Research Journal 3, no. 3 (2023).

Raza, A. A., W. Ali, and G. D. Ahmad. “Social Importance and Requirements of Compassion and Gentleness.” Al-Durar 4, no. 1 (2024).

Raza, A. A., A. U. Rehman, and H. F. Rasool. “Promoting Interfaith Harmony and the Common Challenges Facing the State System Regarding the Unity of the Ummah.” The Islamic Culture: As-Saqafat-ul Islamia (2022).

Rehman, M. S. U., and A. A. Raza. “The Development and Growth of Gratitude and Positive Thinking in the Light of Artificial Intelligence and Seerah.” Islamic Research Journal al-Marsus 3, no. 2 (2025): 66-79.

Saeed, A. F. I., A. A. Raza, S. Arif, and H. F. Rasool. “Charity across Faiths: The Ethical and Spiritual Dimensions of Giving in Judaism, Christianity, and Islam.” Al-Aasar 2, no. 1 (2025): 748-757.

Saeed, A. F. I., A. A. Raza, and H. A. Fatima. “Prayer as the Heart of Worship: Exploring Shared Rituals and Spiritual Connections in Judaism, Christianity and Islam.” Islamic Research Journal al-Qudwah 3, no. 1 (2025): 1-8.

Saeed, A. F. I., A. A. Raza, and K. tul Kubra. “Prophethood in the Abrahamic Faiths.” Islamic Research Journal al-Qudwah 2, no. 4 (2024): 126-133.

Saeed, A. F. I., H. A. Fatima, and A. A. Raza. “The Sacred Texts of Abrahamic Faiths: Common Themes in the Torah, Bible, and Qur’an.” Harf-o-Sukhan 8, no. 3 (2024): 1085-1094.

The Hebrew Bible (Tanakh). Jewish Publication Society, 1985.

The Holy Qur’an. Translated by M. A. S. Abdel Haleem. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004.

Zahid, Z., R. Amin, I. Khalil, B. A. K. Mohammed, and S. Arif. “Regulating Digital Currencies in the EU: A Comparative Analysis with Islamic Finance Principles under MiCA.” International Journal of Business and Management Practices 3, no. 3 (2025).

Zetterholm, Magnus. The Formation of Christianity in Antioch: A Social-Scientific Approach to the Separation Between Judaism and Christianity. London: Routledge, 2003.